Case: 1:22-cv-05216 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/26/22 Page 1 of 15 PageID #:1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

BRANDI PRICE, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

**PLAINTIFF** 

VS.

No. 1:22-cv-5216

MIJ, INC.

**DEFENDANT** 

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff Brandi Price ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through undersigned counsel, for her Original Complaint—Collective Action ("Complaint") against Defendant MIJ, Inc. ("Defendant"), states and alleges as

follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. This is a collective action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of

all others similarly situated, against Defendant for violations of the overtime provisions of

the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), the overtime provisions

of the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, 820 ILCS 105/1, et seq. ("IMWL"), and the payment

provisions of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS 115/1, et seq.

("IWPCA").

2. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated

damages, prejudgment interest, and a reasonable attorney's fee and costs as a result of

Defendant's policy and practice of failing to pay proper overtime compensation under the

FLSA, the IMWL and the IWPCA.

Page 1 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case: 1:22-cv-05216 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/26/22 Page 2 of 15 PageID #:2

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because

this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

4. This Complaint also alleges IMWL and IWPCA violations, which arise out of

the same set of operative facts as the federal cause of action; accordingly, this Court has

supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's IMWL and IWPCA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1367(a).

5. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect

against Plaintiff within the Eastern Division of the Northern District of Illinois; therefore,

venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of Cook County.

7. Defendant is an Indiana for-profit corporation.

8. Defendant's registered agent for service of process is Andrea Elsharif at

2136 Summer Street, Hammond, Indiana 46320.

9. Defendant, in the course of their business, maintain a website at

https://wigglesgentlemensclub.business.site/.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendant employs two or more individuals who engage in interstate

commerce or business transactions, or who produce goods to be transported or sold in

interstate commerce, or who handle, sell, or otherwise work with goods or materials that

have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce, such as food and beverages.

Page 2 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

11. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not

less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately

stated) in each of the three years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

12. Defendant acted as the employer of Plaintiff and is and haa been engaged

in interstate commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA.

13. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA.

14. Plaintiff was employed at Defendant's club in Harvey, Illinois, called Club O.

15. Defendant also owns a club in Hammond, Indiana, called Wiggles

16. Upon information and belief, the revenue generated from both clubs was

merged and managed in a unified manner.

17. Club O and Wiggles shared employees and operated under unified

management.

18. Defendant employed Plaintiff within the three years preceding the filing of

this lawsuit.

19. Specifically, Defendant employed Plaintiff as a Dancer from November of

2018 until November of 2020.

20. Defendant classified Plaintiff as an independent contractor, exempt from the

overtime requirements of the FLSA.

21. Defendant also employed other Dancers.

22. Defendant also classified other Dancers as independent contractors.

23. Plaintiff performed stage dances and lap dances on Defendant's premises.

24. Other Dancers had the same or similar duties as Plaintiff.

Page 3 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case: 1:22-cv-05216 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/26/22 Page 4 of 15 PageID #:4

25. Defendant directly hired Plaintiff and other Dancers, controlled their work

schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions, and

kept at least some records regarding their employment.

26. Plaintiff and other Dancers did not financially invest in Defendant's

business.

27. Plaintiff and other Dancers did not share in Defendant's profits or losses.

28. Defendant, not Plaintiff or other Dancers, set prices for lap dances and

private dances.

29. Defendant determined Plaintiff's and other Dancers' pay scale for services

without input from or negotiation with Plaintiff and other Dancers.

30. Defendant, not Plaintiff or other Dancers, decided whether and how many

Dancers to hire.

31. Plaintiff and other Dancers were hired to work for Defendant for a

continuous and ongoing period of time.

32. Plaintiff and other Dancers did not select any employees for hire, nor did

they have any ability to fire employees.

33. Plaintiff and other Dancers did not have any control of or authority over any

employee's rate of pay or working hours.

34. Defendant set the Club policies and rules and had complete control over

the venue.

35. Defendant required Plaintiff and other Dancers to follow Club policies and

rules.

Page 4 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case: 1:22-cv-05216 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/26/22 Page 5 of 15 PageID #:5

36. Defendant made decisions on advertising Defendant's business without

Plaintiff's and other Dancers' input.

37. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage for all hours

worked.

38. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and other

Dancers an hourly or salary rate.

39. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and other Dancers any wage at all.

40. Plaintiff and other Dancers received tips from Defendant's customers.

41. Plaintiff and other Dancers were required to share their tips with Defendant,

managers, the DJ, the "house mom" and other employees who did not "customarily and

regularly receive tips" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(m).

42. Plaintiff and other Dancers were required to pay Defendant between \$100

and \$250 each shift before they could perform any dances.

43. The tips which Plaintiff and other Dancers were allowed to keep constituted

the entirety of their pay.

44. At all relevant times, Defendant knew or should have known that the FLSA

applied to the operation of an adult entertainment club. Defendant knew of or should have

been aware of previous litigation and enforcement actions relating to wage and hour

violations where the misclassification of exotic dancers as independent contractors under

the FLSA was challenged.

45. Plaintiff worked over 40 hours in some weeks while employed by Defendant.

46. Upon information and belief, other Dancers also regularly or occasionally

worked over 40 hours in some weeks during their employment with Defendant.

Case: 1:22-cv-05216 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/26/22 Page 6 of 15 PageID #:6

47. Plaintiff and other Dancers are entitled to wages and compensation based

on the standard minimum wage for all hours worked.

48. Plaintiff and other Dancers are entitled to 1.5x their regular hourly rate for

hours worked over 40 each week.

49. Plaintiff performed all of her work as a Dancer on Defendant's premises.

50. Other Dancers performed all of their work on Defendant's premises.

51. Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other Dancers were

working hours for which they were not paid a lawful minimum wage or overtime premium.

52. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid

Plaintiff and other Dancers violated the FLSA and the IMWL.

53. Plaintiff and other Dancers' time was recorded by Defendant's "house

mom."

54. Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other Dancers did

not receive a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked.

55. Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other Dancers

worked hours over 40 in at least some weeks.

56. The net effect of Defendant's practices and policies regarding Plaintiff's job

duties and pay, as described above, is that Defendant intentionally misclassified her as

an independent contractor in order to avoid paying her a lawful minimum wage for all

hours worked and an overtime premium for hours worked over forty each week.

57. Defendant made no reasonable efforts to ascertain and comply with

applicable law.

Page 6 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

58. Because Plaintiff was paid solely in tips, she incurred damages in each week that she was employed with Defendant.

59. Specifically but without limitation, Plaintiff incurred damages in each of the following weeks: February 3, 2020; February 10, 2022; and February 17, 2022.

60. Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for whether the way it paid, and failed to pay, Plaintiff and other Dancers violated the FLSA, the IMWL and the IWPCA.

## IV. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

61. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons similarly situated who were, are, or will be paid wages by Defendant within the applicable statute of limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

- A. Minimum wages for all hours worked;
- B. Overtime premiums for all hours worked over forty in any week;
- C. Liquidated damages; and
- D. Attorneys' fees and costs.
- 62. Plaintiff proposes the following collective under the FLSA:

## All Dancers in the last three years.

- 63. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has filed or will soon file a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.
- 64. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward

through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), except as set forth herein

below.

65. The members of the proposed FLSA collective are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

A. They were paid solely in tips;

B. They were not paid a sufficient minimum wage;

C. They were not paid an overtime premium for hours worked over 40 in a

week;

D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of classifying them as

"independent contractors;"

E. They were subject to numerous other policies and practices as described

above; and

F. They had the same or substantially similar job duties and requirements.

66. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the collective but believes

that the collective exceeds 50 individuals.

67. Defendant can readily identify the members of the collective, who are a

certain portion of the current and former employees of Defendant.

68. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the probable FLSA

collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant.

69. The email addresses of many of the probable FLSA collective action

plaintiffs are available from Defendant.

## V. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 70. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 71. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 72. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 each week and to pay 1.5x their regular wages for all hours worked over 40, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying DOL regulations.
- 73. Defendant misclassified Plaintiff as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
- 74. Despite Plaintiff's entitlement to overtime wages, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a sufficient overtime premium for all hours worked over forty each week.
  - 75. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 76. 29 U.S.C. § 203(m)(2)(B) states, "An employer may not keep tips received by its employees for any purposes."
  - 77. Defendant unlawfully retained tips earned by Plaintiff.
  - 78. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions violated the FLSA.
  - 79. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful.
- 80. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees provided by the FLSA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

believe its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and, as a result thereof,

Plaintiff is entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the

Defendant has not acted in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to

amount of unpaid overtime premium pay described above pursuant to Section 16(b) of

the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

81.

82. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VI. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

83. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, asserts

this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et

seq.

84. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all other similarly situated individuals

to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative

collective for unpaid overtime compensation for all the hours they worked in excess of

forty each week.

85. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

86. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay each employee 1.5x the

employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of 40 per week,

unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all

accompanying DOL regulations.

Page 10 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Case: 1:22-cv-05216 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/26/22 Page 11 of 15 PageID #:11

87. Defendant misclassified Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees as

independent contractors and as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

88. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees at

the proper overtime rate for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week, despite

their entitlement thereto.

89. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees a

lawful minimum wage for all hours worked.

90. 29 U.S.C. § 203(m)(2)(B) states, "An employer may not keep tips received

by its employees for any purposes."

91. Defendant unlawfully retained tips earned by Plaintiff and others similarly

situated.

92. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, have been and are

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

93. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable

to Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated

seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

94. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff

and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the

applicable legal rate.

Page 11 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

VII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the IMWL)

95. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/1, et seq.

96. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/3.

97. IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/4a(1) requires employers to pay all employees 1.5x

regular wages for all hours worked over 40 hours in a week, unless an employee meets

the exemption requirements of 820 ILCS 104/4a(2).

98. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a sufficient overtime premium for all hours

worked over forty each week.

99. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked.

100. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

101. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable

to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, damages of 5% of the amount of

underpayment for each month following the date of payment during which such

underpayments remain unpaid, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the

IMWL for all violations which occurred within the three years preceding the filing of

Plaintiffs' initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling. 820 ILCS 105/12.

102. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the IMWL, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

Page 12 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

VIII. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the IWPCA)

103. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the IWPCA, 820 ILCS 115/1, et seq.

104. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was the "employer" of Plaintiff within

the meaning of the IWPCA.

105. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was the "employee" of Defendant within

the meaning of the IWPCA.

106. Section 4 of the IWPCA states that "[a]II wages earned by any employee

during a semi-monthly or bi-weekly pay period shall be paid to such employee not later

than 13 days after the end of the pay period in which such wages were earned." 820 ILCS

115/4.

107. Section 2 of the IWPCA defines "final compensation" as "[p]ayments to

separated employees" for "wages . . . and any other compensation owed the employee

by the employer pursuant to an employment contract or agreement between the two

parties." 820 ILCS 115/2.

108. Section 5 of the IWPCA states, "Every employer shall pay the final

compensation of separated employees in full, at the time of separation, if possible, but in

no case later than the next regularly scheduled payday for such employee." 820 ILCS

115/5.

109. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff all wages and final compensation due.

110. Section 14 of the IWPCA states, "Any employee not timely paid wages, final

compensation, or wage supplements by his or her employer as required by this Act shall

be entitled to recover . . . the amount of any such underpayments and damages of 2% of

the amount of any such underpayments for each month following the date of payment

during which such underpayments remain unpaid. In a civil action, such employee shall

also recover costs and all reasonable attorney's fees." 820 ILCS 115/14.

Defendant knew or should have known that its actions violated the IWPCA.

112. Plaintiff is entitled to recover an award of unpaid wages and liquidated

damages as provided for by the IWPCA, for a reasonable attorney's fee and costs.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Brandi Price, individually and on

behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to

appear and to answer this Complaint and for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged in this Complaint

violate the FLSA, the IMWL, the IWPCA and their related regulations;

B. Certification of a collective under Section 216 of the FLSA of all individuals

similarly situated, as further defined in any motion for the same;

C. Judgment for damages suffered by Plaintiff and others similarly situated for

all unpaid overtime wages under the FLSA, the IMWL, the IWPCA and their related

regulations;

D. Judgment for liquidated damages owed to Plaintiff and all others similarly

situated pursuant to the FLSA, the IMWL, the IWPCA and their related regulations;

E. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated

interest, a reasonable attorney's fee and all costs connected with this action; and

F. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Page 14 of 15
Brandi Price, et al. v. MIJ, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) No. 1:22-cv-5216
Original Complaint—Collective Action

Respectfully submitted,

BRANDI PRICE, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC Kirkpatrick Plaza 10800 Financial Centre Parkway, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

/s/ Krista Sheets
Krista Sheets
Va. Bar No. 97730
krista@sanfordlawfirm.com

/s/ Josh Sanford
Josh Sanford
Ark. Bar No. 2001037
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com